

## **Department of Pesticide Regulation**

Paul E. Helliker, Director 830 K Street • Sacramento, California 95814-3510 • www.cdpr.ca.gov

Gray Davis

#### MEMORANDUM

TO:

Alan C. Lloyd, Chairman

Air Resources Board

P.O. Box 2815

Sacramento, California 95812

FROM:

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY Paul E. Helliker

Director

(916) 445-4000

DATE:

November 1, 1999

SUBJECT:

TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANT PROGRAM PESTICIDE AIR MONITORING—

PROPOSED PESTICIDES FOR YEAR 2000 MONITORING

This responds to your recent memorandum suggesting that the Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR) and the Air Resources Board (ARB) staff meet to discuss new strategies for monitoring pesticides, and to rethink our list for the year 2000 monitoring studies.

We agree that pesticide monitoring should be prioritized based on the potential for greatest public exposure, and that the Scientific Review Panel (SRP) should review whatever monitoring protocol we collectively develop to ensure that we are on the right track. My staff has held two very successful meetings with your staff, and welcome further discussions. Based on those discussions, and also input from SRP at their September "Pesticides in Air" workshop, we propose that ARB conduct air monitoring studies to determine the concentrations of the following pesticides and their breakdown products of concern:

Pesticide	Breakdown Product
Benomyl	n-butyl isocyanate (n-BIC)
Metam-sodium	Methyl isothiocyanate (MITC), methyl isocyanate (MIC), hydrogen sulfide, and carbon disulfide
Methyl bromide	
1,3-Dichloropropene	
Chloropicrin	

This list of chemicals would replace the list that was requested in the May 27, 1999, memorandum. This proposed list is tentative. DPR plans to discuss this list with the SRP during their November meeting, and will seek their concurrence before going forward with the studies.

Alan C. Lloyd November 1, 1999 Page 2

I want to express my thanks to you and your staff for all of your continuing assistance in refining our monitoring for the toxic air contaminant program. Should you have any questions or concerns regarding this information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Dr. John Froines, SRP cc:

bcc: Mr. Paul Gosselin

Mr. Douglas Y. Okumura, DPR

Dr. Gary Patterson, DPR

Dr. John S. Sanders, DPR

Mr. Chuck Andrews, DPR

Mr. Randy Segawa, DPR
Ms. Pam Wales (TAC Files), DPR

Mr. Lynn Baker, ARB

Mr. Bill Lockett, ARB

Mr. George Lew, ARB

Dr. Melanie Marty, OEHHA

Dr. George Alexeeff, OEHHA

### Winston H. Hickox Secretary for Environmental Protection

# **Department of Pesticide Regulation**

Governor

Paul E. Helliker, Director 830 K Street • Sacramento, California 95814-3510 • www.cdpr.ca.gov

### MEMORANDUM

TO:

Alan C. Lloyd, Chairman

Air Resources Board

P.O. Box 2815

Sacramento, California 95812

FROM:

Paul E. Helliker

Paul Helbler

Director

(916) 445-4000

DATE:

May 27, 1999

SUBJECT: TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANT PROGRAM PESTICIDE AIR

MONITORING

As required by the Food and Agricultural Code, the Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR) requests that the Air Resources Board (ARB) conduct air monitoring studies to determine the concentrations of the following pesticide active ingredients and their noted breakdown products:

Pesticide Active Ingredient	Breakdown Products
Benomyl	Carbendazim, n-butyl isocyanate (n-BIC)
Carbaryl (HAP-TAC)	
Dimethoate	Dimethoate oxon
Paraquat	
Maneb (HAP-TAC)	Ethylene thiourea (ETU)
Tralomethrin	Deltamethrin (also a registered pesticide active ingredient)

Currently, ARB staff conducts air monitoring studies for six pesticides per year. Recent criticisms of the monitoring program included the limited amount of data collected for each pesticide. DPR and ARB staff have discussed alternative strategies to collect more data per pesticide under the constraints of the existing

resources, and proposed a new strategy. The proposed strategy involves monitoring six pesticides, but over two growing seasons. That is, for each pesticide listed above, an ambient study and an application-site study would be conducted each year, yielding two ambient studies and two application-site studies for each pesticide. We propose to implement this strategy for the 2000/2001 monitoring seasons.

This strategy would provide several benefits. Monitoring six pesticides over two growing seasons:

- provides more data per pesticide.
- allows a second opportunity to monitor in case pesticide use changes, as has happened in the past.
- is less resource-intensive for ARB staff because analytical method development would not need to be repeated the second year.

Whether we adopt the proposed strategy or continue to use the current methods, we request that ARB continue to submit data annually to DPR.